SE 504 (Formal Methods and Models) Spring 2020 HW #3: wp, Catenation, Selection Due: 7:20pm, Thursday, February 20

Let S be a program and Q be a predicate (over the state space of S). The expression wp.S.Q (read "weakest precondition of S with respect to Q") refers to the weakest predicate P satisfying the Hoare triple  $\{P\} S \{Q\}$ . In other words

$$\{P\} S \{Q\} \equiv [P \Rightarrow wp.S.Q]$$

Among the laws pertaining to wp are these:

wp skip law: [wp.skip. $Q \equiv Q$ ]

wp assignment law:  $[wp.(x := E).Q \equiv Q(x := E)]$ 

wp catenation law:  $[wp.(S_1; S_2).Q \equiv wp.S_1.(wp.S_2.Q)]$ 

The wp catenation law says, in effect, that the weakest solution to  $\{?\}$   $S_1$ ;  $S_2$   $\{Q\}$  is none other than wp. $S_1$ .R (i.e., the weakest solution to  $\{?\}$   $S_1$   $\{R\}$ ), where R is wp. $S_2$ .Q (i.e., the weakest solution to  $\{?\}$   $S_2$   $\{Q\}$ ).

That is, to obtain the weakest precondition for the catenation  $S_1$ ;  $S_2$  (with respect to a postcondition Q), we first find the weakest precondition for  $S_2$  (with respect to Q), which serves as our "intermediate assertion" between  $S_1$  and  $S_2$ .

In problems 1-3, simplify the given expression as much as possible. Use the wp laws given above, as well as well-known theorems from arithmetic, algebra, and logic. Regarding Problem 2, note that catenation is associative, meaning that  $(S_1; S_2); S_3$  and  $S_1; (S_2; S_3)$  are equivalent programs. Problem 3, despite being worded differently, is the same kind of problem as the ones preceding it.

- 1. wp.(i := i + 2 \* j; j := j + i).(i > 2j)
- **2.** wp. $(y := x y; x := x y; y := y + x).(x = Y \land y = X)$

**3.** Determine the weakest predicate P that makes this Hoare Triple true:

$$\{P\} \ i := i - 1; \ sum := sum + b.i \ \{sum = (+j \mid i \le j < \#b : b.j) \land 0 \le i \le \#b\}$$

The remaining problems involve Hoare Triples whose programs include both a selection command and a catenation of commands.

Recall that if **IF** is the program

if 
$$B_0 \to S_0 [] B_1 \to S_1$$
 fi

 $\text{then } \{P\} \text{ IF } \{Q\} \hspace{.1in} \equiv \hspace{.1in} [P \Rightarrow (B_0 \lor B_1)] \hspace{.1in} \land \hspace{.1in} \{P \land B_0\} \hspace{.1in} S_0 \hspace{.1in} \{Q\} \hspace{.1in} \land \hspace{.1in} \{P \land B_1\} \hspace{.1in} S_1 \hspace{.1in} \{Q\}$ 

4. Prove  $\{P \land i < \#b\}$ if  $b.i \ge 0 \to sum := sum + b.i; i := i + 1$   $[] b.i \le 0 \to i := i + 1$ fi  $\{P \land i \le \#b\}$ 

where  $P: 0 \leq i \ \land \ sum \ = \ (+j \ | \ 0 \leq j < i \ \land \ b.j \geq 0 \ : \ b.j)$ 

Notice that the first branch of the selection command is a catenation of two assignment commands. Thus, in showing that that branch behaves as intended, you must make use of a catenation law.

*Hint 1:* A quantification range such as  $0 \le i < n + 1 \land R$  can be rewritten as the disjunction  $(0 \le i < n \land R) \lor (i = n \land R)$  (first by rewriting  $0 \le i < n + 1$  as  $0 \le i < n \lor i = n$  and then by applying (3.46)), after which *Range Split* (8.16) is applicable.

*Hint 2:* A quantification range of the form  $P \wedge R$ , where R does not mention a dummy, can, in some circumstances, be simplified to either P or *false*, the former when R can be reduced to *true* and the latter when R can be reduced to *false*.

*Hint 3:* Theorem (3.84a) tells us that the conjunction  $(e = f) \wedge P$  is equivalent to  $(e = f) \wedge P'$ , where P' is obtained from P by replacing one or more occurrences of e by f. If e is a dummy and f is not, this is one way of getting rid of a dummy in a conjunct. (See Hint 2.)

**5.** Prove  $\{P \land 0 \le k < \#b\}$  **if**  $b.k \le 0 \rightarrow sum := sum - b.k$ []  $b.k \ge 0 \rightarrow sum := sum + b.k$  **fi** ; k := k + 1 $\{P\}$ 

where  $P : sum = (+i \mid 0 \le i < k : |b.i|)$  and where |x| is the absolute value of x, defined as follows:

$$[(|x| = x \equiv x \ge 0) \land (|x| = -x \equiv x \le 0)]$$

Notice that the program is a catenation of a selection command and an assignment command. Thus, to show that the Hoare Triple is valid you must make use of a catenation law.